

Customer Name:	Order#
Telephone #:	
Customer Address:	
Customer Address.	
0.000	
Brief Description of Problem:	
Called Ameritech to find out why	order did not cut on due date.
Ameritech said order was cancel	led in their system by mistake.
Kim took cancelled status off acc	count so this order could be processed.
Amaritach did not natify Procks o	of cancellation which we did not outhorize
Amentech did not notily brooks o	of cancellation which we did not authorize.
The core of the particular posterior and a venture core of the particular and the particu	
***************************************	
	_
· ************************************	
	·
	_
O-4 D-4 42/42/06	
Order Date: 12/18/96	Inservice Date: 1/7/97
Reporter's Name:	, Reporting Dept.

November 6, 1996

Ameritech Eric Larson 350 North Orleans, Floor 3 Chicago, IL 60654

Dear Eric:

I'm sending you this letter to follow up with our phone conversation on October 31, 1996. In our conversation, you stated that you will start the process necessary to send up tapes or MDM of invoices. This will be very helpful as we grow to process our payments.

We also talked about detailing out the credits due to us for disputed items. Since this information is stored electronically in your systems, I prefer that your billing department work with this data to calculate the credits. We can then review the calculation. As you know, we do not have access to this information in an electronic format making it difficult to manipulate. The credits due are 60 cents for each additional path billed and the S42 charge for Line connection charges on the SPNP bills. There are credits due on the unbundled loop bills for \$42 for each one-time line connection charge. Once these credits are given, you will see that there isn't a past due balance to Ameritech.

As I mentioned, we need our invoices from Ameritech sent to us in an electronic format. This will enable us to better process payments. Hence the need for our invoices to be provided in some sort of tape or other electronic format.

I want to resolve these outstanding issues so we can move to other priorities, as I am sure you do. If you have any questions please don't hesitate to call (314) 579-3734.

Sincerely

John F. Jennings

cc: Marty Clift Dennis Perkins Millie Reed



	Order Identification	
Customer Name:	Order#	
Telephone #:		· .
Customer Address:		<u>,, ',,                                </u>
Brief Description of Proble	am:	
Direct Description of the contract.	341	
	ay at 8am but because of multiple problems was	
	t. First of all, at least half of the lines were on ISL	
	ech technician to change them before we could c	
	approximately 10am. Then the customer couldn't	
	e the sales person had their carrier as LDMI inste	
LCI. That was an easy fix.		
**************************************		
Then some of the lines ker	pt locking up when we dialed out. From Troy in the	ne
	the lines were going into overcurrent protection.	
	from my experience with Ameritech I was able to	
	read the Ameritech assignment to me, that most	
	ole were assigned incorrectly on GST cards. I had	
	to change the cards and to make a long story sho	
***************************************	t and put them back in which reset the lines. Wh	
	ent into overcurrent protection. Ameritech did	
	hange the cards in the C.O. around 10:30pm last	night
and we are testing the lines		
**************************************		
Order Date: 12/11/96	Inservice Date: 42/42/96 av. av. se	
Reporter's Name	Karonine Dane	



Customer Name: Order#
Telephone #:
Customer Address:
Brief Description of Problem:
This order was conirmed and completed with Ameritech. Josie with Brooks
dispatch called and said that Ameritech said that they never received this
order. I called Darlene at AMI. She told me that this order was received.
Darlene conferenced Neal with the service center to help with this problem.
Neal had said that when they sent someone to do this order they checked the
cable and pair and said it was occupied. So they reassigned a new underground
cable and pair. After someone did some additional checking the original
cable and pair was never occupied-someone at Ameritech check the wrong
number. They tried to put this back to the original cble and pair but by this
time that one was occupied.
Neal said that this order is correct now and "hopefully" it should be installed
sometime today.
Order Date: 1/10/97 Inservice Date: 1/17/97
Reporter's Name: Reporting Dept.



# BROOKS FIBER COMMUNICATIONS OF MICHIGAN, INC.

## AMERITECH INCIDENT REPORT

Order Ceptification
Customer Name: Order #
Telephone #:
Customer Address:
Brief Description of Problem:
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1/13/97. (Brooks Tech) went to site and the cable pairs for the TXNU's
appear to be open and he's unable to get dial tone. Trouble referred to John
at Ameritech/NECC-will call us back with TKT#. Per Tim (Ameritech/NECC)
cable pairs have continuity to D-mark so he's closing trouble ticket.
On same day, were all confer-
enced to a serior at AMI/NECC because the pairs that were closed out on the
trouble ticket were still not testing OK for Steve. We did have a problem with
at AMI/NECC not listening to what was asking and he
started arguing with them. He also told the man in toll he could hang up when
had asked that he still work on the problem with him. When
communicate with John he started to argue with had the same problem
with John in the a.m. when he issued the original trouble ticket. I had relayed
the info the tech, Steve, gave me exactly as it was given to me and they John
wrote something different on the trouble ticket-trying to say I gave him the wrong
info. I tried to explain that I gave him exactly what the tech said and he started
arguing with me. I told him I did not have time to waste arguing with him and if
he wanted to talk to would get her. He just got quite and did not say one
word. There has been a continual problem with John and it's believed that
ferred the problem to Jerry Hiley (Ameritech).
1/14/97: There are two bad CFA's on order. A change was made and sent to
Ameritech Unbundling. The corrections were relayed to John (Ameritech C.O.),
Dennis (translations) and to Scott. The cut started at 5pm. Having a problem
with the two lines that have CFA changes. The C.O. person working the cut
didn't know of the changes. John did not relay the changes to the rover doing

the cut. Cut finally completed at 7pm.



(SIMAL DEBRICATION
Customer Name: Order#
Telephone #:
Customer Address:
•
Brief Description of Problem:
This order was provisioned wrong by Ameritech. It works in LITESPAN and the
C.O. programmed it according to their order. Nobody in C.O. to reporgram the
LITESPAN back to put the customer back on their "old stuff" until Ameritech
corrects their order. Customer agreed to wait until things are fixed and cut on
Monday but no switchman in C.O. to work order back.
So, we can't work it back but it's not working right the way it is! Mark/NECC
is checking to see if a "rover" could program the LITESPAN.
Cut was to occur on 1/9/97 at 5pm-Ameritech notified on 1/7/97 via email. Do
not know, at this time, what the status is of the order.
•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Order Date: 11/27/96 Inservice Date: 11/27/96
Reporter's Name: Reporting Deptil



		e le la	<b>2000000000000000000000000000000000000</b>	
Customer Name: •			Order#	
Telephone #:	rająga ar jišti	. · · ·		
Customer Address:		·		
<b>Brief Description of P</b>	roblem:			
On this order new loo	ps were ordered	d in advance	(and not done)	at the "T"
address. Ameritech t	echnician didn't	want to do	the loops with no	o dial tone-
that shouldn't have be	en a problem b	ecause C.O	. could've put to	ne on new
pairs to test at D-marl	c. Customer wa	is given a 6p	om cut time from	Ameritech.
Because there was or	nly one (1) "rove	er" working o	n other orders t	his didn't get
started until 8:30pm.	Then, the Amer	itech tech. h	ad tagged the [	)-mark with
the #'s consecutively				
time we figured out wi			<del></del>	
				· ·
The cut finished at 10	pm.			······································
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
***************************************	**************************************			
				:
***************************************	**************************************			
***************************************	7	,		
***************************************				
,				
**************************************				
***************************************			······································	······································
***************************************				,
Order Date: 11/21/96	***	ansemies	Date: 42/43/96	
Reporters Name		Resource		
		COMMON MANAGES	Market Market	



	• Order ld	entification	
Customer Name:		Order#	<b>建</b>
Telephone #:		· ,	
Customer Address:			
Brief Description of P	robiem:		
•			······
Ameritach started to	cut this customers	t 3:30pm instead of the	a 5nm scheduled
		not make any outgoing	
		as going on. Overall,	
		pression that we did no	
coordinated properly.			9
······································		***************************************	
***************************************	·		
	~		
***			
		***************************************	•
		······································	•
		·	
**************************************		***************************************	······································
·			
<u>:</u>	·		
·		***************************************	
·			
····			
Order Date:		inservice Dates	
Reporter's Name		Regulate Degra	



#### BROOKS FIBER COMMUNICATIONS OF MICHIGAN, INC.

#### AMERITECH INCIDENT REPORT

**Customer Name:** rder# Telephone #: **Customer Address:** Brief Description of Problem: This is a Centrex order for 26 lines at four locations. Customer wants all to be cutover at the same time. Ameritech sent FOCs for three locations on 11/1/96 and the other on 11/4/96. Sent porting info to Ameritech on 11/6. On 11/7 Ameritech called to say we didn't address one of the numbers when actually we did. After a couple of changes in the due dates the cut was scheduled to occur on 11/27/96 at 9am. Ameritech was sent notification in early afternoon on 11/19. On 11/20 at 4pm Ramone from Ameritech called to say he'd completed the cut for one of the locations-THIS WAS NOT SUPPOSED TO OCCUR YET. Pat (Brooks) notified Neil (Ameritech) the day before about the change in due date. The customer called on 11/21 saying they were quite upset about the business they are losing due to no service. Brooks Dispatch notified Ameritech of situation and Ameritech reversed work so customers lines we operational again. On 11/26 1pm the order was verified with Ameritech as to the next day's scheduled cut. On 11/27, the day of the cut, no dial tone was found at the D-mark-card checked. Ramone at Ameritech didn't work disconnect at 9am as scheduled, however CO worked their portion. At 11:40 Ramone was having difficulty completing translations due to traffic on lines. One of the other locations was cutting from ISLC and wasn't complete yet On 12/3 all lines were working except for one in Holland. Brooks corrected the CFA problem and at 2:15pm lines were tested OK. Order Date: 10/23/96 | Inservice Date: 12/3/96 | Reporting Dept Reporter's Name:



## BROOKS FIBER COMMUNICATIONS OF MICHIGAN, INC.

## AMERITECH INCIDENT REPORT

	<b>1</b> ·
Orderád <b>e</b> ni	
Customer Name:	Order#
Telephone #:	A Committee of the Comm
Customer Address:	
Brief Description of Problem:	
	<b>人名罗斯特 上来中的</b> 电电
This customer has 38 lines to cutover to on	e Centrex group. It was established
that loops would be reused. The due date	was originally scheduled for 11/25/96
so that to even out the cutover schedule. A	meritech sent over FOC's on 11/20.
On 11/20 the customer called and wanted t	he cut to occur on 11/26 at 10am.
Ameritech, on 11/21 confirmed that the new	
sent to AMI as written confirmation. The ve	ndor was notified of the cutover but
per Jane at Lucent they don't need to be the	ere.
On 11/25/96, Ameritech called to say they v	vere concerned that if this business
had a lot of incoming traffic it could slow up	the cut a great deal-they can't cut if
the lines are busy. Everyone agreed to cha	nge the cutover time to 7:30am. The
cut started at 7:30am but Ameritech had a p	problem in their switch releasing the
disconnect orders so that they could start th	e porting. As of 10am, 11/26, the
porting was done except for the first 10 lines	s at the main address. At 12noon
Joe at AMI called to say that porting was co	mplete.
The customer was not a "happy camper" ab	out being without phone service for
four (4) hours.	
Order Date: 10/8 <mark>/96 Ins</mark>	ervice: Date: :: # # /28/95 / /2004)
<b>****</b>	



	Identification
Customer Name:	Order#
Telephone #:	
Customer Address:	
10 - 40	
Brief Description of Problem:	
Ameritach was supposed to install th	e customer on 12/27/96. Constant pro-
	nas the order for DID circuits still pending
completion.	las the order for DID eneals still perfuling
Completion.	
	DID circuits we give them the choice of
using either metallic or pargain to brir	
chose metallic, only to discover that t	
	On 1/7/97 we found out that it, once
	They stated that they had to re-engineer
the order and that there was an ISLIC	
As of 1/10/97, the order has still not b	peen completed.
Order Date: 10/14/96	Inservice Date: Pending
Reporter's Name:	Resoning Depts



Customer Name:	Order #	
Telephone #:	P Older #	19.25 (1.25) 1.15 (1.45)
Customer Address:		
330,0000		
Brief Description of Problem:		
There were three new DID circuits to	be added.	
One of the circuits was on a defective	القابلة ومسموع فالمروم ومسمون ويورون ويبرب استعموا الأنان المساول والمتقال المراجع والمتعالف والمروم ومروا	
The other two were going into PLO t		
GST cards in pairgain which is incom		they were
provisioned wrong out of their [Amer	itech's] ISLC.	<del></del>
		***************************************
		~
		<b>.</b>
		**************************************
·		······································
1	·	
Order Date:	Inservice Date:	
Reporter's Name	Reporting Depte	



Customer Name:		Order#
Telephone #:		सूच्याका । अस्ति केशावा
Customer Address	s:	A Company of the Comp
Brief Description o		
oner Description o	DI PIODEM:	-
Lines were ported	incorrectly as follows:	
Ported #	Port To	Was Ported To
241 6411	493 2560	493 2530
241 6415	493 2561	Not Ported
241 6412	493 2562	493 2563
<del></del>		
- Cruing Has been	corrected by Brooks).	
	Lonected by Ibrooks).	
	DIOURS).	
	LONECTED DISONS).	
	LONECTED DISONS).	
	Lonected D. Diooks).	
	Lonected D. Diooks).	
Porting has been d	LOTTECTED DISTORS).	
Order Date 1/15/		7 cesparess/#8/6/



Customer Name: Telephone #:	Order # Order #
Customer Address:	
Brief Description of Probler	π:
The Ameritech telephone n	umber was not porting at the time of the cut.
	Manager Was not posting at the arms of a re-
**************************************	
TO THE STATE OF TH	
***************************************	
The same and a second control of the second control of the second control of the second second control of the	
**************************************	
·	
Order Date: 1/40/97	inservice Date: 1/10/97
Reporter's Name:	riservice pale, in our



# BROOKS FIBER COMMUNICATIONS OF MICHIGAN, INC.

Customer Name: Order #	
Telephone #:	
Customer Address:	
Brief Description of Problem:	
On Jan 9, 97 this customer was CNF by Ami to install one new l	oop. This was
not loaded for an Ami tech, so the work never got done.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
On Jan 10, Paul, Brooks Dsp. called Ami. He spoke w/ Nancy, v	who said she
escalated the order. It was loaded per Nancy for Jan 13.	
On Jan 13, the work did not get done.	
On Jan 13, our provisioning group called Ami and got confirmation	
order will be worked on Jan 14. It was loaded to an Ami tech as	
On Jan 14, at 11am, the work was still not done. Paul called Am	
that the first job for the Ami tech took too long and that they char	nged the due
date for the 15th.	
On Jan 15th, finally the customer is on Brooks service. The new	
installed, the lines have been ported. But now, lines 1& 2 are ho	
So Ami has to redispatch someone to go out and check the lines	
Jan 16th, hollow sounding still has not been tested by Ami. It was	is loaded by a
tech, but now is pending load. Tom at Ami was going to escalate	e to get this
loaded for tomorrow, Jan 17th.	
Jan 17th, no comments in order yet that Ami has done anything.	
Order Date: Inservice Date:	
Reporter's Name: Reporting Dept.	



emOwe.	demitication
Customer Name:	rder#
Telephone #:	
Customer Address:	

#### Brief Description of Problem:

Original due date 1/8/97. Not complete because Ameritech tech was called off on trouble per Nancy in unbundling. Order turned back again on 1/9/97 because report from dispatch to unbundling was 'need access to back yard'. I called customer contact. Dave Selzer said didn't understand-backyard is wide open and anyone can walk back there. Then Ameritech said 'no access'. On 1/10/97 was told order was in 'jeopardy' meaning it was turned back for no access. Actually, order wasn't released for dispatch on 1/10 a.m. Then was told wouldn't be done on 1/10 because of work load. Nancy in unbundling offered to escalate if necessary. I called customer who said Monday would be fine. Nancy will follow thru on this order asking tech to call here personally from site if there is a problem.

On 1/13/97 Nancy says for reasons unknown to here and dispatch order was not done today. It is expected that the weather and AMI trouble is playing a big part in it. Remarks in order say cross-connection work is complete but due to 'got late and dark' they would run the drop on the 14th. Was on work schedule for the 14th. It was not done on 14th - no reason given. I called Nancy again on 15th. She says will follow thru on order. It is rescheduled again today. She will keep in touch.

Order was completed by Ameritech on 1/15/97.

Order Date: 1/8/97. Inservice Date: 1/15/97

Reporter's Name

Reporting Dept



Customer Name:	7		Order#	
elephone #:				
Customer Address:	-			·
Pastorner Address.			<del></del>	
Brief Description of	Problem:			
he problem with th				
			e and load. We w	
hen told it would be	المراجعين المراجعين المراجع المراجعين المراجعين المراجعين المراجعين المراجعين المراجعين المراجعين المراجعين ال		was missed due t	o cable
rouble. The order v	was finally complet	ted on 11/4/96.	······································	
		-,,- <u>,-,-,-,,,,,</u>	·	
·				
·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				**********
			·····	
				<u>.</u>
				<u></u>
·····				
				···
717				
····				·
				····
rder Date: 6/26/96		Inservice Dat	e 11 <i>141</i> 96	



Customer Name:	Order#
Telephone #:	
Customer Address:	
Brief Description of Problem:	
	h missed the due date. The first due date that
	nis date was missed due to heavy work load on
	eceived was 11/4/96, which was also missed due
to heavy work load.	
The account was finally finished	on 11/5/96.
	anta dia mpampiana dia
	***
	7 A 444-66
Order Date: 6/26/96 Reporter's Name:	Inservice Date: 11/5/96***** Reporting Dept.



**Customer Name:** 

Telephone #:	· Commence of the control of the control
Customer Address:	1000 1000 120 <b>4</b> 400 400
Brief Description of Problem:	
The problem with this account was a missed due date. A	meritech gave us a due
date of 11/7/96. They missed this date because they said	I that they had a work
load that was too heavy for that day.	
They should be able to plan that better. We give out cust	omers the due date
and then have to call them to change the date.	
- The time with a contract of the contract of	
	••
Order Date: 10/25/96 Inservice Date: 1	6/010G
Reporter's Name Reporting Dept.	4101610



Customer Name:

# AMERITECH INCIDENT REPORT

Order#

Telephone #: ***********************************	Control of the Contro
Customer Address:	<b>一種の大学を表現を持ちない。</b>
jo sa segjera agjera njekaja ja kija a	en german and a state of the second of the s
Brief Description of Problem:	Control of the Contro
Controller State British Controller Controll	THE STREET, THE PROPERTY OF TH
The customer has an OPX. We wante	ed Ameritech to miscellaneous bill the
OPX. Ameritech refused. We put the	order on hold to await a decision.
一方面 大学 はない はいかん はいかん はいかん はいかん はいかん はいかん はいかん はいか	
Ameritech then started to work the ord	der after the order was put on hold. The
customer had two numbers that went	down. Ameritech got one of the numbers
back up the same day but the other no	umber was still down three days later.
The order is still on hold pending a de-	cision on the OPX issue.
The second of th	antakan di Karal Kar
	- Section was property to the second section of the
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Provided Control of Mary 19 for you have the control of the contro
医骨髓 化基础转换 医皮肤性 化基本分离	t kis ki la tidik timbalan kenala 🔒
i dan da	The Control of the Co
	to the second of
©rder bate Réporters≋vamex	Lincon de Bares Réndige



	Clariffer in 187	
Customer Name:	Order#	
Telephone #:	and the same of th	
Customer Address:		3

(1916),Landau Marchard (1914), Andrew Marchard (1914), Andrew Marchard (1914)。 Andrew Marchard (1914).

CUSTOMER CALLED AMI TO ADD CALL FORWARD/FIXED TO THE LAST
LINE OF THEIR HUNT GROUP, WHICH IS CURRENTLY WITH AMI BUT AN
ORDER IS PENDING TO SWITCH THESE LINES OVER TO BROOKS. THE
AMI REPRESENTATIVE TOLD THE CUSTOMER THAT HIS REQUEST WAS
NOT POSSIBLE BECAUSE OF THE TYPE OF SOFTWARE AMI USES. ALL
THE CUSTOMER WANTED TO DO WAS SIMPLY HAVE THE LAST LINE OF
THEIR HUNT GROUP TO CALL FORWARD TO ONE OF THEIR BROOKS
NUMBERS THAT'S ALREADY UP & RUNNING ON BROOKS. THIS
CUSTOMER SHOULD BE ABLE TO ADD CALL FORWARDING TO ANY
NUMBER THEY CHOOSE. WE ADVISED THE CUSTOMER TO CALL AMI
BACK AND ADD CALL FORWARD/VARIABLE TO THE LAST LINE SO THAT
THEY COULD DO THE PROGRAMMING THEMSELVES.



Q. (1.0.0. (1.0.0.) (1.0.0.) (1.0.0.) (1.0.0.) (1.0.0.) (1.0.0.)	order # :
Customer Name:	Order#:
Telephone #:	A STATE OF THE STA
Customer Address: 1	
A TENNESSEE A MARKETON	
Brief Description of Problem:	
The blandith this order was a n	nissed due date by Ameritech. We were
ine problem with this older was a tr	omer was not installed until 11/25/96. The
given the date of 11/21/90, the cust	heavy a work load to complete it on that day.
reason given was that they had too	
***************************************	
	And the second s
Oper Date: 8/19:5	Chrenice Pails (1886)
Reporter's Name:	[Kagopilaos Black



ef Description of Problem:	ech. Actually the
e problem with this order was a missed due date by America date was missed twice. The first confirmed due date was missed and changed to 1/7/97. The second date was alser was finally completed on 1/8/97	ech. Actually the 4
e problem with this order was a missed due date by America date was missed twice. The first confirmed due date was s missed and changed to 1/7/97. The second date was also ler was finally completed on 1/8/97	ech. Actually, the ≃
e problem with this order was a missed due date by America date was missed twice. The first confirmed due date was s missed and changed to 1/7/97. The second date was also ler was finally completed on 1/8/97	ech. Actually, the 4.1/2/97, this date
e problem with this order was a missed due date by Amerite date was missed twice. The first confirmed due date was a missed and changed to 1/7/97. The second date was alser was finally completed on 1/8/97	ech. Actually, the 4.1/2/97, this date
e date was missed twice. The first confirmed due date was a missed and changed to 1/7/97. The second date was also ler was finally completed on 1/8/97	1/2/97, this date 🧒
s missed and changed to 1/7/97. The second date was alser was finally completed on 1/8/97	
er was finally completed on 1/8/97	o missed. The
	······································
	The second secon
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Company (Contraction of the Contraction of the Cont	
er Date: #2/2/33   Incolure Dates 1/33 orters Name:	



ustomer Name:			rde	er # '	<sub>en er</sub> aktik eskelbirrildik. 	diedie
elephone #: ustomer Address:	华萨敦	de tradit		A Trickett	#(PROTES)	era en
े - अंग्रेस्ट्रेनियोन्स्यान वर्षे । अ		Ass. See eas	. Popular			· ` :
rief Description of Problem:						
his order was confirmed for 1/2/97	e e	المواجعة المتحد	من جانباد د	- विकास क्षेत्रक	<b>第三人称: 本文</b>	3.72
his order was confirmed for 1/2/97.	. It was	<u>misse</u>	d on 1/2	/97. It wa	s pre-**	egy ( days
ssigned for 1/3/97. It was missed						
r 1/4/97. It was finally completed o	on 1/6/9	97	- Series	7.75 (李哲)2.150	n Constitutions	
		a setypus a <del>Zastania</del>	. 1			يۇ بى
and the state of t		L .	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·	
- Through to All-London Control Control and the same was made and an expedite of the chimedelic of the Control						
***************************************			<del></del>	<del></del>		
	···	· · ·				
		<u> </u>			<del> </del>	
	·		<del></del>		·	
	Principal territorium			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. ·	
			<del></del>		<del></del>	
	·	<del></del>				
					3	
	<del></del>					
	<del></del>		<del></del>	·		
						·····
	<del></del>		····	<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	- <del></del>			····	<del></del>	
**************************************			<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
				· ·		
				1214		
der Date: ongin <b>ally4/2/97/44 state</b>			, Y. W. W. W. Y.	(I)(E)/		en zone



Customer Name:			Order#		
Telephone #:	<b>*</b> (1)	Michel Constitution (		A CHARLES	
Customer Address:				Commission of	- 1
· ·	والمعالمين المنطوعة	Baraga baran ji ku bara			oga <b>eş</b> kiri
Brief Description of Pro					
AMI says order is conf					
end of December if no	t earlier. They s	ay they have	to do some	construct	tion, but
they are not suppose t	o take a month t	o do it∵This i	is not an ac	cceptable	confirm
date.	AND THE PARTY.	THE COMMEN		OF THE PARTY	
				OF MARK	SALCHE.
Also, the customer call			ditional line	and were	told
no facilities were availa	able. This was n	ot true.		·	· .
			<del></del>	~	~
				·	~
		al State			
	·		·		
	·	·			·
					*
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
					•